UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,364	01/23/2004	Roger Maitland	Q102939	4471
23373 7590 06/29/2011 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, ELLEN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO.	1, 20 2003 /		2433	
				<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM



Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

David J. Cushing SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON DC 20037

In re Application of: MAITLAND, Roger et. al.

Application No. 10/762,364 Filed: January 23, 2004 Docket No. Q102939

Title: METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR PARALLEL IMPLEMENTATIONS OF TABLE LOOK-UPS AND CIPHERING

MAILED

JUN 28 2011

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

DECISION ON PETITION TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.181

This is a decision on petition filed May 18, 2011 under 37 CFR § 1.181 to invoke Supervisory Authority of the Commissioner to seeks relief from Examiner's action in relation to Notice of Abandonment mailed April 22, 2011, namely, requesting the withdrawal of the notice of abandonment.

This petition is **GRANTED**.

REGULATION AND PROCEDURES

MPEP § 711.03(b) Holding Based on Failure To Reply Within Period

When an amendment reaches the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office after the expiration of the period for reply and there is no dispute as to the dates involved, no question of reconsideration of a holding of abandonment can be presented. However, the examiner and the applicant may disagree as to the date on which the period for reply commenced to run or ends. In this situation, as in the situation involving sufficiency of reply, the applicant may take issue with the examiner and point out to him or her that his or her holding was erroneous

§ 1.136 Extensions of time.

(a) (1) If an applicant is required to reply within a nonstatutory or shortened statutory time period, applicant may extend the time period for reply up to the earlier of the expiration of any maximum period set by statute or **five months** after the time period set for reply, if a petition for an extension of time and the fee set in § 1.17(a) are filed..

DECISION

Upon careful review of the file prosecution history, the above mentioned abandonment is deemed premature and hereby withdrawn.

For the reason(s) above-mentioned this petition is hereby granted.

Notice of Abandonment mailed April 22, 2011 is hereby withdrawn.

Application will be forwarded to respective Technical Support Staff for withdrawal of Notice of Abandonment mailed April 22, 2011. Application has been forwarded to examiner for proper treatment of Applicant's response mailed Supplemental Appeal Brief filed May 18, 2011, issuance of corresponding office action in due course.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed the undersigned whose telephone number is (571) 272-3902. If attempts to reach the undersigned by telephone are unsuccessful, Chau T. Nguyen, Quality Assurance Specialist, can be reached at (571) 272-3126.

/Beatriz Prieto/ for Chau T. Nguyen

Beatriz Prieto, Quality Assurance Specialist Technology Center 2400, Network, Multiplexing, Cable and Security